UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,999	08/23/2005	Johan Loccufier	234918	2402
	7590 09/05/200 ' & MAYER, LTD	EXAMINER		
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			ZIMMERMAN, JOSHUA D	
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	10/530,999	LOCCUFIER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOSHUA D. ZIMMERMAN	2854
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)    Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is non-final.  Iowance except for formal matters	•
Disposition of Claims		
4)	hdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance orrection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content.	ments have been received. ments have been received in App priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) /lail Date rmal Patent Application

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 16, 17, 21-28, 31-37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Kunita et al. (US 2001/0009129) in view of Kinsho et al. (US 5,837,785), further in view of Ding et al. (US 5,994,430), and further in view of applicants' admitted prior art (AAPA).

Regarding claim 16, Kunita et al. disclose "a heat-sensitive lithographic printing plate precursor (paragraph 2) comprising a support having a hydrophilic surface and an oleophilic coating provided on the hydrophilic surface (paragraph 23), said coating comprising (i) an infrared light absorbing agent (paragraph 23) and (ii) a polymer comprising a phenolic monomeric unit (paragraphs 190-191)."

Kunita et al. fail to specifically disclose that the polymer comprises a "phenolic monomeric unit wherein the phenyl group of the phenolic monomeric unit is substituted by" the specified group and that "wherein S is covalently bound to a carbon atom of the phenyl group." However, Kunita et al. teach that the heterocyclic group is attached either to the main chain or the side chain of the main polymer by an appropriate linking chain, including S and thioethers (paragraph 197). Kunita et al. also teach using novolac resins as the main polymers (paragraph 191), and using them in any or all of

the embodiments (paragraph 198). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use novolac resins as the backbone chain, in order to enhance the film strength. One having ordinary skill in the art would also recognize that when substituting novolacs (polyvinylphenols), there are only three options for the location of the substitution: on a carbon of the vinyl portion, on a carbon of the phenyl portion, or on the hydroxyl group.

Kinsho et al. teach the desire and ability to incorporate heterocyclic molecules into Novolac chains (a polyvinylphenol) in order to improve the storage stability of the polymer (abstract).

Ding et al. teach the heterocyclic substitution of polyvinylphenols via the carbon atoms of the phenyl portion (see the compound drawn in column 4 Ding et al., and component Y) in order to achieve a desired aqueous solubility (sentence bridging columns 4 and 5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use novolac polymers as the base of the heterocyclic polymer of Kunita et al. in order to improve the storage stability, as taught by Kinsho et al.

It further would have been obvious, to substitute at a carbon of the phenyl group, as taught by Ding et al. Furthermore, one having ordinary skill in the art could at once envisage a scenario wherein the substitution is made on a carbon of the phenyl group, since there are only three options for substitution, if one only considers the different types of bonding positions available. And if one were to consider the actual *number* of places available for bonding (on a basic polyvinylphenol), there are only six sites

available for substitution: four carbon atoms on the phenyl group, one carbon on the vinyl group, and on the oxygen atom in the hydroxyl group. Therefore, four out of six, or 67%, of the sites available for substitution would be a carbon atom of the phenyl group.

Lastly, even if the aforementioned motivations were not sufficient, which they are not, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute at the carbon atom of the phenyl group instead of the oxygen atom of the hydroxyl group in order to maintain the amount of hydroxyl groups available. One having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the number of hydroxyl groups in a polymer is a results-effective variable, which affects the solubility in a developer solution. Even though applicants in the reply filed on 5/02/07 submitted this information, this knowledge was available to those having skill in the art at the time of the invention (as evidenced by Ding et al.) and therefore use of this knowledge as motivation is not improper hindsight.

Kunita et al. as modified also fail to teach "wherein said coating further comprises a dissolution inhibitor." AAPA teaches the use of a dissolution inhibitor (page 22 of applicants' disclosure, last paragraph) in order to control the dissolution rate of the hydrophobic polymer in the developer (page 22, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use dissolution inhibitors in the printing plate of Kunita et al. and Kinsho et al. in order to control the dissolution rate of the hydrophobic polymer in the developer.

Regarding claim 17, AAPA further teaches "wherein said dissolution inhibitor is selected from the group consisting of an organic compound which comprises at least

one aromatic group and a hydrogen bonding site, a polymer or surfactant comprising siloxane or perfluoroalkyl units and mixtures thereof (see the entire page 22 of applicants' disclosure)."

Page 5

Regarding claim 21, Kunita et al. further teach "wherein said heterocyclic group contains at least one nitrogen atom in the ring of the heterocyclic group (paragraph 194)."

Regarding claim 22, Kunita et al. further teach "wherein said heterocyclic group has a 5- or 6-membered ring structure, and is optionally annelated with another ring system (paragraph 195)."

Regarding claim 23, Kunita et al. further teach "wherein said heterocyclic group has a 5- or 6-membered ring structure, and is optionally annelated with another ring system (paragraphs 195 and 196)."

Regarding claim 24, Kunita et al. further teach "wherein said heterocyclic group has a 5- or 6-membered ring structure, and is annelated with another ring system (paragraphs 195 and 196)."

Regarding claim 25, Kunita et al. further teach "wherein the heterocyclic group is selected from an optionally substituted tetrazole, triazole, thiadiazole, oxadiazole, imidazole, benzimidazole, thiazole, benzthiazole, oxazole, benzoxazole, pyrazole, pyrrole, pyrimidine, pyrasine, pyridasine, triazine or pyridine group (paragraph 196)."

Regarding claim 26, Kunita et al. further teach "wherein said polymer comprising a phenolic monomeric unit is a novolac, resol or polyvinylphenol (paragraphs 190-191 and paragraph 197. See also the discussion above with regards to claim 16)."

Regarding claim 28, Kunita et al. further teach "wherein --S-(L)<sub>k</sub>-Q comprises the following formula wherein Z represents the necessary atoms to form a 5- or 6-membered heterocyclic aromatic group, and is optionally annelated with another ring system (paragraph 196, line 4)."

Page 6

Regarding claim 31, Kunita et al. further teach "wherein --S-(L)<sub>k</sub>-Q comprises the following formula wherein X is 0, S or NR<sup>3</sup>, wherein R is selected from hydrogen, an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or heteroaralkyl group, halogen or -L<sup>1</sup>-R<sup>2</sup>, where in L<sup>1</sup> is a linking group, wherein R<sup>2</sup> is selected from hydrogen, an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or heteroaralkyl group, halogen or --CN, wherein R<sup>3</sup> is selected from hydrogen or an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or heteroaralkyl group, or wherein at least two groups selected from R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>2</sup> and R<sup>3</sup> represent the necessary atoms to form a cyclic structure (paragraph 196)."

Regarding claim 32, Kunita et al. further teach "wherein --S-(L)<sub>k</sub>-Q comprises the following formula wherein X is 0, S or NR<sup>4</sup>, wherein R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> are independently selected from hydrogen, an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or heteroaralkyl group, halogen or -L1--R3 wherein L<sup>1</sup> is a linking group, wherein R<sup>3</sup> is selected from hydrogen, an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or heteroaralkyl group, halogen or --CN, wherein R<sup>4</sup> is selected from hydrogen or an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or

heteroaralkyl group, or wherein at least two groups selected from R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>2</sup>, R<sup>3</sup> and R<sup>4</sup> together represent the necessary atoms to form a cyclic structure (paragraph 196)."

Regarding claim 33, Kunita et al. further teach "wherein --S-(L)<sub>k</sub>-Q comprises the following formula wherein n is 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4, wherein X is 0, S or NR<sup>5</sup>, wherein each R<sup>1</sup> is independently selected from hydrogen, an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or heteroaralkyl group, halogen,  $--SO_2--NH--R^2, \ --NH--SO_2--R^6, \ --CO--NR^2--R^3, \ --NR^2--CO--R^6, \ --NR^2--CO--NR^3--R^4, \ --NR^2--CO--NR^2--R^4, \ --NR^2--CO--NR^2--$ NR<sup>2</sup>--CS--NR<sup>3</sup>--R<sup>4</sup>, --NR<sup>2</sup>--CO--O--R<sup>3</sup>, --O--CO--NR<sup>2</sup>--R<sup>3</sup>, --O--CO--R<sup>6</sup>, --CO--O--R<sup>2</sup>, -- $CO-R^2$ ,  $-SO_3-R^2$ ,  $-O-SO_2-R^6$ ,  $-SO_2-R^2$ ,  $-SO-R^6$ ,  $-P(=0)(-O-R^2)(-O-R^3)$ ,  $-O-P(=0)(-O-R^2)$ P(=O)(--O--R<sup>2</sup>)(--O--R<sup>3</sup>), --NR<sup>2</sup>--R<sup>3</sup>, --O--R<sup>2</sup>, --S--R<sup>2</sup>--CN, --NO<sub>2</sub> or -M--R<sup>2</sup>, wherein M represents a divalent linking group containing 1 to 8 carbon atoms, wherein R<sup>2</sup> to R<sup>5</sup> are independently selected from hydrogen or an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or heteroaralkyl group, wherein R<sup>6</sup> is an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or heteroaralkyl group, or wherein at least two groups selected from each R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>2</sup>, R<sup>3</sup>. R<sup>4</sup>. R<sup>5</sup> and R<sup>6</sup> represent the necessary atoms to form a cyclic structure (paragraph 196)."

Regarding claim 34, Kunita et al. further teach wherein --S-(L)<sub>k</sub>-Q comprises the following formula wherein n is 0, 1, 2 or 3, wherein each R<sup>1</sup> is independently selected from hydrogen, an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or heteroaralkyl group, halogen, --SO<sub>2</sub>--NR--R<sup>2</sup>, --NR--SO<sub>2</sub>--R<sup>5</sup>, --CO--NR<sup>2</sup>--R<sup>3</sup>, --NR<sup>2</sup>--CO--R<sup>5</sup>, --NR<sup>2</sup>--CO--NR<sup>3</sup>--R<sup>4</sup>, --NR<sup>2</sup>--CS--NR<sup>3</sup>--R<sup>4</sup>, --NR<sup>2</sup>--CO--O--

-R3, --O--CO--NR <sup>2</sup>--R<sup>3</sup>, --O--CO--R<sup>5</sup>, --CO--O--R<sup>2</sup>, --CO--R<sup>2</sup>, --SO<sub>3</sub>--R<sup>2</sup>, --O--SO<sub>2</sub>--R<sup>5</sup>, --SO<sub>2</sub>--R<sup>2</sup>, --SO<sub>3</sub>--R<sup>5</sup>, --P(=O)(--O--R<sup>2</sup>)(--O--R<sup>3</sup>), --O--P(=O)(--O--R<sup>2</sup>)(--O--R<sup>3</sup>), --NR<sup>2</sup>--R<sup>3</sup>, --O--R<sup>2</sup>, --S--R<sup>2</sup>, --CN, --NO<sub>2</sub> or -M--R<sup>2</sup>, wherein M represents a divalent linking group containing 1 to 8 carbon atoms, wherein R<sup>2</sup> to R<sup>4</sup> are independently selected from hydrogen or an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or heteroaralkyl group, wherein R<sup>5</sup> is an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or heteroaralkyl group, or wherein at least two groups selected from each R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>2</sup>, R<sup>3</sup>, R<sup>4</sup> and R<sup>5</sup> together represent the necessary atoms to form a cyclic structure (paragraph 196)."

Regarding claim 35, Kunita et al. further teach "wherein --S-(L)<sub>k</sub>-Q comprises the following formula wherein n is 0, 1, 2 or 3, wherein each  $R^1$  is independently selected from hydrogen, an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or heteroaralkyl group, halogen, --SO<sub>2</sub>--NR--R<sup>2</sup>, --NR--SO<sub>2</sub>--R<sup>5</sup>, --CO--NR<sup>2</sup>--R<sup>3</sup>, --NR<sup>2</sup>--CO--R<sup>5</sup>, --NR<sup>2</sup>--CO--NR<sup>3</sup>--R<sup>4</sup>, --NR<sup>2</sup>--CS--NR<sup>3</sup>--R<sup>4</sup>, --NR<sup>2</sup>--CO--O--R<sup>3</sup>, --O--CO--NR<sup>2</sup>--R<sup>3</sup>, --O--CO--R<sup>5</sup>, --CO--O--R<sup>2</sup>, --CO--R<sup>2</sup>, --SO<sub>3</sub>--R<sup>2</sup>, --O--SO<sub>2</sub>--R<sup>5</sup>, --SO<sub>2</sub>--R<sup>5</sup>, --P(=O)(--O--R<sup>2</sup>)(--O--R<sup>3</sup>), --O--P(=O)(--O--R<sup>2</sup>)(--O--R<sup>3</sup>), --NR<sup>2</sup>R<sup>3</sup>, --O--R<sup>3</sup>, --S--R<sup>2</sup>, --CN, --NO<sub>2</sub> or -M--R<sup>2</sup>, wherein M represents a divalent linking group containing 1 to 8 carbon atoms, wherein R<sup>2</sup> to R<sup>4</sup> are independently selected from hydrogen or an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heterocyclic, aryl, heteroaryl, aralkyl or heteroaralkyl group, wherein R<sup>5</sup> is an optionally substituted alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, heteroaralkyl

group, or wherein at least two groups selected from each R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>2</sup>, R<sup>3</sup>, R<sup>4</sup> and R<sup>5</sup> together represent the necessary atoms to form a cyclic structure (paragraph 196)."

Regarding claims 36 and 37, Kunita et al. further teach "wherein the heterocyclic group is selected from an optionally substituted tetrazole, triazole, thiadiazole, oxadiazole, imidazole, benzimidazole, thiazole, benzthiazole, oxazole, benzoxazole, pyrazole, pyrrole, pyrimidine, pyrasine, pyridasine, triazine or pyridine group (paragraph 196)."

Regarding claim 38, Kunita et al. further disclose "wherein said polymer comprising a phenolic monomeric unit is a novolac (paragraph 191)."

2. Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kunita et al., Kinsho et al., Ding et al. and AAPA, as applied to claim 16 above, further in view of Umeda et al. (JP 05-127402 A).

Regarding claims 29 and 30, Kunita et al. as modified fail to disclose the specific structures claimed, but Kunita et al. teach the general concept of adding optionally annelated 5-member aromatic heterocyclic rings containing nitrogen (paragraphs 195-196). Umeda et al. discloses the specific structures claimed (see compound II-105) used as anti-oxidants in a photosensitive layer (abstract), and uses them along with the other types claimed by applicants. One having ordinary skill in the art would therefore recognize that the specific chemicals claimed in claims, 29 and 30 are art-recognized equivalents and would have been motivated to substitute any one for those disclosed by Kunita et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/530,999 Page 10

Art Unit: 2854

Regarding claims 29 and 30, see the compound II-105 of Umeda et al. This compound meets the general structure of all the claims.

## Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicants' argument that Kunita et al. teach away from substituting other than a hydroxyl or alkoxy group is unpersuasive. While Kunita et al. do suggest that doing so would have disadvantages, certainly Kunita et al. fall short of teaching that one *cannot* have other substituents. Kunita et al. only teach that it would only be disadvantageous "from the standpoint of sensitivity." Kunita et al. clearly teach that such substitutions are possible (see paragraph 182). Clearly here, Kunita et al. is recognizing that there could be other reasons to try different substituents, and one having ordinary skill in the art certainly realizes that there are many other factors to be considered when formulating binder polymers, *especially* the film strength and storage stability, which are very important factors to be considered. Therefore, it is maintained that one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to turn to the other prior art of record to achieve benefits in film strength and/or storage stability, even at the expense of sensitivity, since Kunita et al. do not prohibit other substituents.
- 4. Applicants' further argument that the proposed modification would destroy the intended function is found to be erroneous. Contrary to the assertion by Applicants that the proposed modification is 'clearly forbidden,' Kunita et al., as stated above, clearly teach and suggest to one having ordinary skill in the art to use substituents other than a hydroxyl or alkoxy group (paragraph 182). Certainly one cannot destroy the intended

function of the cited reference if the cited reference actually *suggests* that the modification can be done!

Therefore, it is maintained that one having ordinary skill in the art would reasonably expect, upon modifying Kunita et al. in accordance with the rejection outlined above, to achieve a successful printing plate which would have increased film strength and storage stability. Even if there were the *possibility* of losing some sensitivity, since Kunita et al. do not explicitly prohibit the modification, the teachings found in the prior art are still deemed sufficient to modify Kunita et al.

5. In response to applicants' arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

## Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSHUA D. ZIMMERMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-2749. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 8:30A - 6:00P, Alternate Fridays 8:30A-5:00P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Judy Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2854 Joshua D Zimmerman Examiner Art Unit 2854